

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,796		02/27/2002	Alpaslan Demir	I-2-0182.2US	8475	
24374	7590	10/19/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
VOLPE	AND KO	ENIG, P.C.	TRAN,	TRAN, KHAI		
DEPT. IC	_			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
UNITED	UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600				FAFER NOMBER	
30 SOUT	H 17TH ST	REET	2637			
PHILAD	ELPHIA, P	PA 19103	DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

WAD

				<u> </u>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/083,796	DEMIR ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	•	KHAI TRAN	2637	_				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address					
Period fo	• •							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication in period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by signify received by the Office later than three months after the model patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the ariod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M6 tatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	77 February 2002						
2a)[<u> </u>	This action is non-final.	·					
3)□	· —		tters, prosecution as to the merits is	s				
٥,۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
D!14	·		2,					
	ion of Claims							
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	•					
'	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
'=	Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to.	adlar alastian rasviransant						
ا∟(ە	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	id/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
10)[0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	oign priority under 35 H.S.C.	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)					
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eight phonty under 35 0.5.C.	9 119(a)-(d) of (f).					
a,	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received						
	Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		**					
	application from the International Bu	•	Tooling in allo Hallonal Glago					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.					
		•	•					
Attachmen	ut(s)		•					
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	<i>'</i>	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3 <i>papers</i>.</u>	6) Other:	•					

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: Appropriate correction is required.

Regarding claim 2, line 7, the term "peak samples" should be --peak sample--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 5, line 1, the term "wherein said UE steps" is vague and indefinite.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

Application/Control Number: 10/083,796

Art Unit: 2637

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 4, 6 of copending Application No. 09/918,611. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 of the instant application merely broadens the scope of the claims 1, 2, 4, 6 of the U.S. copending No. 09/918,611 by eliminating the elements and their functions of claims 1, 2, 4, 6 of the U.S copending application. It has been held that the omission an element and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. *In re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA). Also note *Ex parte Rainu*, 168 USPQ 375 (Bd.App.1969); omission of a reference element whose function is not needed would be obvious to one skilled in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,796 Page 4

Art Unit: 2637

6. Claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAI TRAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3019. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAY PATEL can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KHAI TRAN

Primary Examiner

llanguartun

Art Unit 2637

KT October 15, 2004